Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/032,945	TENORIO, MANOEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mila Airapetian	3625
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Mila Airapetian.	(3) <u> </u>	
(2) Mr. Laurenti.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 October 2006.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-32</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	•	
	Hou	nela
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Minor changes to the claims 1, 10, 21 and 32 were discussed. Specifically, language including "a seller selection module configured to receive one or more seller requirements from one or more buyers coupled to the global content directory server", and "a search module configured to communicate a search query to the one or more seller databases to search the product data stored in the one or more seller databases identified by one of the pointers" was proposed to further clarify the invention: Mr. Laurenti authorized changes by Examiner Amendment.